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Details of cosmological solutions are presented in this section. After showing the general solution $m(r)$ derived in the previous section, the metric function of Kepler orbits (relativistic and non-relativistic, i.e. Newtonian) is derived. These orbits are valid for the solar system. Finally we present the metric of logarithmic spiralling orbits being observed on galaxy scales.

### 4.1 Properties of the general solution

The general form of $m(r)$ is given in Eq. (39). $R$ is a constant and set to a numerical valud of $1 / 3$ for simplicity. From Fig. 1 it can be seen that this function behaves very similar to the so-called Scharzschild metric Eq. (42) with
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Figure 1: Different forms of $m(r)$.
$r_{0}=1$. In particular there is a zero crossing and a divergent behaviour for $r \rightarrow 0$. The zero crossing appears at

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\text {zero }}=3 R \log \left(\frac{2}{\log (2)}\right) . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The divergent behaviour can be avoided by shifting the r coordinate or using another admissible solution for the metric function, for example

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(r)=1-\frac{1}{e^{2}} \exp \left(2 \exp \left(-\frac{r}{3 R}\right)\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function is regular for $r \geq 0$, however the limit of this function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(r) \rightarrow 1-e^{-2} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of unity for $r$ going to infinity.
From the solar system it is known that Eq. (42) gives an excellent descrition of gravitation. Therefore we tried to adopt the curve of $m(r)$ to the graph of this equation by least squares fitting. In $m(r)$ there is only one fitting parameter $R$ available, therefore no perfect coincidence can be obtained. The numerical procedure gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=0.374 r_{0} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

as an optimal value. The resulting graph is very similar to that shown in Fig. 1 for $R=1 / 3$.

### 4.2 The relativistic and non-relativistic Kepler Problem

The equation of orbits is found from Eq. (14) for $\mu=\nu=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0} g_{00}=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} m(r, t)=0 . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time dependence of $m$ has not been considered so far. From Eq. (39) it is seen that only the characteristic radius $R$ can be time dependent, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(r, t)=2-\exp \left(2 \exp \left(-\frac{r}{R(t)}\right)\right) . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the time derivative in Eq. (49) then leads to the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R^{2}(t)}\left(R(t) \frac{d r}{d t}-r \frac{d R(t)}{d t}\right)=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d r}{d t}=\frac{r}{R(t)} \frac{d R(t)}{d t} . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an equation for all orbits. The radial coordinate $r$ has to be considered to have a time dependence now which is characteristic for orbital motion. Note that this time dependence does not appear in the metric function (39) a priori.

The orbits of planets in the solar system are described experimentally by a precessing ellipse:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{\alpha}{1+\epsilon \cos (y \theta)} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\epsilon$ being the eccentricity, $\alpha$ the semi-major axis and $y$ a parameter describing the precession of the ellipse. In the Newtonian limit we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \rightarrow 1 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

The precessing ellipse is derved from the so-called Schwarzschild metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left(1-\frac{r_{0}}{r}\right) c^{2} d t^{2}-\left(1-\frac{r_{0}}{r}\right)^{-1} d r^{2}-r^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta) d \theta^{2} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an approximation to the metric with metric function $m(r, t)$ derived in this paper and passes into the Minkowski metric for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r_{0}}{r} \rightarrow 0 . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time derivative of $\theta$ in central motion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \theta}{d t}=\frac{L}{\mu r^{2}} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is the conserved angular momentum and $\mu$ the reduced mass. With (53) this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \theta}{d t}=\frac{L}{\mu \alpha^{2}}(1+\epsilon \cos (y \theta))^{2} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from this equation and (53) follows by differentiation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d r}{d t}=\frac{d r}{d \theta} \frac{d \theta}{d t}=\frac{L y \epsilon}{\mu \alpha^{2}} \sin (y \theta) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the results into (52) gives a differential equation for $R(t)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r} \frac{d r}{d t}=\frac{L y \epsilon}{\mu \alpha^{2}}(1+\epsilon \cos (y \theta)) \sin (y \theta)=\frac{1}{R(t)} \frac{d R(t)}{d t} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R(t)} \frac{d R(t)}{d t}=\frac{d \log (R(t))}{d t} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

this equation can be integrated to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t)=c \frac{L y \epsilon}{\mu \alpha^{2}} \int(1+\epsilon \cos (y \theta)) \sin (y \theta) d t \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an integration constant $c$. The integral cannot be evaluated directly because of the time dependence of $\theta$, but a variable substitution $t \rightarrow \theta$ can be performed using Eq. (58). Computer algebra then gives the final result

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\theta)=\frac{c}{(1+\epsilon \cos (y \theta))^{1 / y}} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

which with appropriate choice of the constant $c$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\theta)=r(\theta)^{1 / y} \text {. } \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

For Newtonian orbits this further simplifies to the fundamental result

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\theta)=r(\theta) \text {. } \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dependence of $m(r, \theta)$ has been graphed as a suface plot in Fig. 2 for $\epsilon=0.3$ (all other constants set tu unity). The cyclic weak dependence on the angle $\theta$ is visible. The dependence of $\theta$ from time can be calculated by integration of Eq. (58). The result obtained from computer algebra is quite complicated:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
t=\frac{2 \alpha^{2} \mu}{y L}\left(\frac{\operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{(2 \epsilon-2) \sin (\theta y)}{2 \sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}(\cos (\theta y)+1)}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}\left(\epsilon^{2}-1\right)}\right. \\
\left.-\frac{\epsilon \sin (\theta y)}{(\cos (\theta y)+1)\left(\frac{\left(\epsilon^{3}-\epsilon^{2}-\epsilon+1\right) \sin (\theta y)^{2}}{(\cos (\theta y)+1)^{2}}-\epsilon^{3}-\epsilon^{2}+\epsilon+1\right)}\right) . \tag{66}
\end{array}
$$

The behaviour is illustrated in Fig. 3. It can be seen that for a relatively strong eccentricity of $\epsilon=0.3$ the dependence remains near to linear as expected for the solar system.


Figure 2: Surface plot of $m(r, t)$ for Keplerian orbits.


Figure 3: Dependence $t(\theta)$ for Keplerian orbits.

### 4.3 Logarithmic spiral orbits

The orbit of a logarithmic spiral is given by [13]

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=k \exp (\alpha \theta) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $k$ and $\alpha$ being constants. The time dependence of $r$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t)=\left(\frac{2 \alpha L}{\mu} t+k^{2} C\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ and $L$ are defined as for the Keplerian orbits. From the latter equation follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d r(t)}{d t}=-\frac{\alpha L}{\mu}\left(\frac{2 \alpha L}{\mu} t+k^{2} C\right)^{-3 / 2} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

With Eq. (52), which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r} \frac{d r}{d t}=\frac{1}{R(t)} \frac{d R(t)}{d t} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\alpha L}{\mu}\left(\frac{2 \alpha L}{\mu} t+k^{2} C\right)^{-2}=\frac{1}{R(t)} \frac{d R(t)}{d t} . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t)=R_{0} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\mu^{2}}\left(\alpha^{2} L^{2} t^{2}+\alpha k^{2} \mu C L t\right)\right) \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an integration constant $R_{0}$. This is a Gaussian function, depicted in Fig. 4 where all constants have been set to unity again. Inserting $R(t)$ into Eq. (50) gives the netric function for logarithmic orbits. This is also plotted in Fig. 4 , showing some kind of inverse Gaussian behaviour. The combined $r$ and $t$ dependence can be observed in the surface plot (Fig. 5). $m(r, t)$ behaves like a Gaussian in time and an $1 / r$ function in the radial coordinate. The physically meaningful range begins at $t=0$ where $m$ has the highest slope, reflecting the fact that $m$ deviates most from free-
space behaviour near to the the center of a spiral. Spiral arms of galaxies should be describable in this way.


Figure 4: Functions $R(t)$ and $m(r, t)$ (with $\mathrm{r}=5$ ) for a logarithmic spiral orbit.


Figure 5: Surface plot of $m(r, t)$ for a logarithmic spiral orbit.
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