

357(1): Meaning of the g Factor of the Electron.

In the old electrodynamics, the minimal prescription is defined by:

$$\underline{p} \rightarrow \underline{p} + e\underline{A} \quad - (1)$$

in a textbook such as Atkins "Molecular Quantum Mechanics". Therefore the kinetic energy becomes:

$$T = \frac{1}{2m} (\underline{p} + e\underline{A}) \cdot (\underline{p} + e\underline{A}) \quad - (2)$$

$$= \frac{\underline{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{e}{m} \underline{A} \cdot \underline{p} + \frac{e^2}{2m} \underline{A}^2$$

In the usual treatment, \underline{A} is expressed in terms of a uniform magnetic field \underline{B} :

$$\underline{A} = \frac{1}{2} \underline{B} \times \underline{r} \quad - (3)$$

Therefore:

$$H^{(1)} = \frac{e}{m} \underline{A} \cdot \underline{p} = \frac{e}{2m} \underline{B} \times \underline{r} \cdot \underline{p} = \frac{e}{2m} \underline{B} \cdot \underline{r} \times \underline{p} \quad - (4)$$

The orbital angular momentum is:

$$\underline{L} = \underline{r} \times \underline{p} \quad - (5)$$

$$\text{so } H^{(1)} = \frac{e}{2m} \underline{B} \cdot \underline{L} \quad - (6)$$

This is responsible for the Zeeman effect. Traditionally in molecular physics, the gyromagnetic ratio is defined as:

$$\gamma_e = -\frac{e}{2m} - (7)$$

so $H^{(1)} = -\gamma_e \underline{B} \cdot \underline{L} = -\underline{m} \cdot \underline{B} - (8)$

where $\underline{m} = \gamma_e \underline{L} - (9)$

i.e. the magnetic dipole moment.

In the Dirac theory the Hamiltonian is developed into:

$$H^{(1)} = \frac{e}{2m} (\underline{L} + 2\underline{S}) \cdot \underline{B} - (10)$$

where \underline{S} is the spin angular momentum of the electron. This has no classical counterpart.

The g factor of the electron in the Dirac theory is the factor 2 multiplying \underline{S} . It is exactly 2.

Experimentally, however, the deviation factor

$$g = 2.002319314 - (11)$$

is known with much greater precision. The experimental value (11) is considered to be the result of the effect of spin-orbit, or other or vacuum.

In ECE2 theory the vector potential is denoted \underline{W} . In addition to the vector

3) potential \underline{W} of the magnetic field:

$$\underline{W} = \frac{1}{2} \underline{B} \times \underline{r} \quad - (12)$$

there is a vacuum or spacetime or aether vector potential:

$$\underline{W}(\text{vac}) = \underline{v}(\text{vac}) \quad - (13)$$

where \underline{v} is the fluid velocity field of the spacetime. This induces the material potential

$$\underline{W}_1 = \frac{m}{e} \underline{W}(\text{vac}) \quad - (14)$$

so the minimal prescription becomes:

$$\underline{p} \rightarrow \underline{p} + e \underline{W} + m \underline{W}_1 \quad - (15)$$

The Dirac theory must therefore be recalculated to account for \underline{W}_1 , and compared with the experimental g of eq. (11). This will be the subject of the next note.
