

10/10) Comparison of Relativistic Equations

Consider the Lagrangian of ECF2 theory:

$$L = -mc^2 \gamma - U \quad (1)$$

The relativistic momentum γ is defined by:

$$\underline{p} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\underline{r}}} = m \frac{d\underline{r}}{d\tau} \quad (2)$$

(Marder and Thorne chapter 15). It follows that:

$$\underline{F} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{r}} = \frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\underline{r}}} = m \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{d\underline{r}}{d\tau} \right) = m \gamma^4 \frac{d^2 \underline{r}}{dt^2}$$

where \underline{F} is the Michowski force, the relativistic ⁽³⁾ version of the Newtonian force:

$$\underline{F}(\text{Newton}) = m \frac{d^2 \underline{r}}{dt^2} \quad (4)$$

In the limit:

$$\gamma \rightarrow 1 \quad (5)$$

the Michowski force reduces to the Newtonian force.

If
$$U = -\frac{mM\phi}{r} \quad (6)$$

then:
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{r}} = -mM\phi \frac{\underline{r}}{r^3} \quad (7)$$

It follows that the Michowski force equation

2) is:

$$\underline{F} = m \gamma^4 \frac{d^2 \underline{r}}{dt^2} = - \frac{mMG \underline{r}}{r^3} \quad - (8)$$

This gives a precession as it was solved.

Eq. (8) is a new and original discovery.

It is obtained from the relativistic Euler Lagrange equation:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \underline{r}} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\underline{r}}} \quad - (9)$$

and of ECE2 Lagrangian (1).

Eq. (9) is also a new and original discovery.

Note carefully that the equation:

$$\ddot{\underline{r}} = \frac{\gamma MG}{r^3} \left(\frac{\underline{v} (\underline{v} \cdot \underline{r})}{c^2} - \underline{r} \right) \quad - (10)$$

is obtained from:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \underline{r}} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\underline{r}}} \quad - (11)$$

Eq. (10) gives precession but the newly discovered and rigorously self consistent equation is equation (9).

Eq. (8) must be solved simultaneously with the field equations of gravitostatics:

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{g} = 0 \quad - (12)$$

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{g} = \underline{\kappa} \cdot \underline{g} = 4\pi G \rho_m \quad - (13)$$

$$\frac{d\underline{g}}{dt} = 0 \quad - (14)$$

Eq. (12) is checked by computer algebra. We have:

$$g_x = \frac{\ddot{x}}{\left(1 + \frac{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2}{c^2}\right)^2} = -mG \frac{x}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} \quad - (15)$$

$$g_y = \frac{\ddot{y}}{\left(1 + \frac{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2}{c^2}\right)^2} = -mG \frac{y}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} \quad - (16)$$

Eq. (13) is:

$$\frac{\partial g_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g_y}{\partial y} = \underline{\kappa} \cdot \underline{g} = 4\pi G \rho_m \quad - (17)$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_x}{\partial x} &= -mG \frac{\left((x^2 + y^2)^{3/2} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left((x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}\right)\right)}{(x^2 + y^2)^3} \quad - (18) \\ &= -mG \frac{\left((x^2 + y^2)^{3/2} - 3x^2 (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}\right)}{(x^2 + y^2)^3} \end{aligned}$$

$$= -mG \left(\frac{1}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{3x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^{5/2}} \right)$$

Similarly:

$$\frac{\partial g_y}{\partial y} = -mG \left(\frac{1}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{3y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^{5/2}} \right) \quad (19)$$

So:

$$\frac{\partial g_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g_y}{\partial y} = \frac{2mG}{(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}} = 4\pi G \rho_m \quad (20)$$

So:

$$\boxed{\rho_m = \frac{m}{2\pi(x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}}} \quad (21)$$

Therefore eq. (8) is:

$$\ddot{x} = -2\pi \rho_m G \left(1 - \frac{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2}{c^2} \right)^2 x \quad (22)$$

$$\ddot{y} = -2\pi \rho_m G \left(1 - \frac{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2}{c^2} \right)^2 y \quad (23)$$

Eqs. (21) to (23) must be solved simultaneously to give the precessing orbit.